Aquatic Plant Management

NOTE: Missing or incomplete fields are highlighted at the bottom of each page. You may save, close and return to your draft permit as often as necessary to complete your application. If there are no updates in 90 days, your draft is deleted

3200-004 Chemical Aquatic Control Application

NOTE: To be considered a private pond, a waterbody must meet all of the following requirements:

- 1. Confined to one property owner.
- 2. The pond has no uncontrolled surface water discharge.
- 3. No public access.

Upon submittal of your permit application, a **non-refundable \$20 permit processing fee will be charged**. Additional acreage fees will be refunded if the permit request is denied or if no treatment occurs.

3200-004 Chemical Aquatic Plant Control Application

- Annually complete all pages on Form 3200-004 for chemical plant management applications. Complete form 3200-004a for large scale treatments(exceeds 10.0 acres in size or 10% of the area of the water body that is 10 feet or less in depth) as required by NR107.04(3).
 - Form 3200-004 is competed electronically through this system.
 - Form 3200-004a must be completed outside the system and uploaded to the attachments section. Please refer to this link for a copy of this form: http://dnr.wi.gov/files/pdf/forms/3200/3200-004A.pdf
- Attach a map that shows the treatment location(s), treatment dimensions and riparian landowners. If requesting WPDES coverage, attach a water body map that shows surface outflow and receiving waters.
- For a large-scale treatment, attach evidence that a public notice has been published in a regional / local newspaper and if required that a public informational meeting has been conducted as defined in NR107.04(3).
- Pay fee online.
- Sign and Submit form.
- A signed permit application certifies to the Department that a copy of the application has been provided to any affected property owner's association/district and to landowners adjacent to treatment area.

Contact Information		
Applicant Information		
Organization	Horseshoe Lake Public Inland P&R District	
Last Name:	Waldo	
First Name:	Joe	
Mailing Address:	PO Box 304	
City:	Turtle Lake	
State:	<u>WI</u>	
Zip Code:	54889	
Email:		
Phone Number:		
(xxx-xxx-xxxx) Alternative Phone Number:		
(xxx-xxx-xxxx)		
Waterbody Address		
Last Name:		
First Name:		
Street Address:	83 S. Horseshoe Lake Dr.	
City:	Turtle Lake	
State:	<u>WI</u>	
Zip Code:	54889	
Email:		
Phone Number:		
(xxx-xxx-xxxx) Alternative Phone Number:		
(ххх-ххх-хххх)		
Applicator		
	Northern Aquatic Services	
Applicator Certification #:		
Business Location License #:	93-013996-011211	
Restricted Use Pesticide #:		
Address:	1061 240th Street	
City:	Dresser	
State:		
Zip:	54009	
	ddressel@centurytel.net	
Phone Number: (xxx-xxx-xxxx)	715-495-5252	

Adjacent Riparian Property Owners				
NOTE: Phone and email address will not be publicly viewable.				
Uploaded riparian owners to attachment tab				
Name Address	5	Phone		Email Address
Site Information - Complete				
Waterbody Containing Control Area(s)				
Waterbody Property Owners Association	Joe Waldo			
or Waterbody District Representative :	□ None			
Water Body Name:	Horseshoe L	ake		
Primary County:	Polk			
Latitude:	45.445			
Longitude:	-92.154			
Section:	13			
Township:	34			
Range:	15			
Direction:	○ E • W			
Waterbody Surface Area:	389	acres		
Estimated Surface area that is 10ft or less	169	acres		
Proposed Control Area(s)				
Area(s) Proposed for Control:				
Site Name <u>Treatment</u> <u>Treatment</u> (Optional) <u>Length</u>	<u>Width</u>	Estimated Acreage	Average Depth	Calculated Volu

Area(s) Proposed for	Control.										
<u>Site Name</u> (Optional)	<u>Treatment</u> <u>Length</u>	_	<u>Treatment</u>	Width	Est	timated Acreag	<u>e</u>	Average Dep	oth_	<u>Calcul</u>	ated Volume
Bed1-23	0	ft. x 0		÷ 43,560 ft. ²	=	1.15	ac	6 f	t =	6.90	ac-ft
Bed2-23	0	ft. ft. x		÷ 43,560 ft. ²	=	1.19	ac	5 f	t =	5.95	ac-ft
Bed3-23	0	ft. ft. x		÷ 43,560 ft. ²	=	3.73	ac	5 f	t =	18.65	ac-ft
		ft.	Estim	ated Acreage Grand Total		6.0	7 _{ac}	Calcula Volume Gr T			ac-ft

Is the area with in or adjacent to a sensitive area designated by the Department of Natural Resources. More Information ○ Yes ● No

If the estimated acreage is greater than 10 acres, or is greater than 10 percent of the estimated area 10 feet or less in depth in Section II, complete and attach Form 3200-004A, Large-Scale Treatment Worksheet.

Chemical Aquatic Plant Control Information - Form 3200-004 (R 2/17)

Notice: Use of this form is required by the Department for any application filed pursuant to s. 281.17(2), Wis. Stats., and Chapters NR 107, 200 and 205, Wis. Adm. Code. This permit application is required to request coverage for pollutant discharge into waters of the state. Personally identifiable information on this form may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Treatment Type:		
ullet Lake $igtriangle$ Pond $igtriangle$ Wetland $igtriangle$) Marina ○ Other	
Has a Lake Management plan been provided to the D Yes No Don't Know	If Yes, date approved of most current 11/8/2022	Link to Approved Plan: https://leapsllc.com/index.php/horseshoe
Does the proposed plant removal agree with the appoint If NO, explain, Attach additional sheets if necessary.	roved plan? Yes No	
Goal of Aquatic Plant Control: Maintain navigation channel Maintain boat landing and car Improve fish habitat Maintain swimming area Control of invasive exotics Other Nuisance Caused By: Algae Emergent water plants (major	ry in access	ove water surface, e.g. cattail, bulrushes)
☐ Floating water plants (majority	of leaves floating on water surf	
List Target Plants		
 □ Algae □ Common/Glossy Buckthorn □ Coontail □ Curly-Leaf Pondweed □ Duckweed □ Elodea ☑ Eurasian Watermilfoil 	 □ Flowering Rush □ Hybrid Cattail ☑ Hybrid Watermilfoil □ Japanese Knotweed □ Naiad □ Narrow-Leaf Cattail □ Phragmites 	 □ Purple Loosestrife □ Reed Canary Grass □ Reed Manna Grass □ Starry Stonewort □ Yellow Floating Heart □ Yellow Iris □ Pondweed
Other Target Plants:		

Note: Different plants require different chemicals for effective treatment. Do not purchase chemical before identifying plants.

Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? All Some None What were the results of the treatment? This will be the first time ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not?	Chemical Control				
Algimycin PWF	Full Trade Name of Proposed	Chemical(s)			
Alligare Argos Cutrine-Plus Granular Nautique Seclear G Alligare Diquat Cutrine-Plus Granular Navigate Shoreklear-Plus Alligare Ecomazapyr Cutrine-Plus Granular Navigate Shoreklear-Plus Alligare Glyphosate 5.4 DMA 4 IVM Navigate Shoreklear-Plus Aqua Neat Earthtec Phycomycin SCP Sonar AS Aqua Star Element 3A Polaris Sonar H4C Aqua Star Element 3A Polaris Sonar PR Aqua Star Flumioxazin 51% WDG Polaris AC Sonar PR Aquashade Formula F-30 Pond-Klear Sonar Q Aquashade Formula F-30 Pond-Klear Sonar RT Aquashadow Garlon 3A ProcellaCOR EC Sonar RTU Aquastrike Green Clean Refuge Sonar SRP Aquathol K Habitat Renovate 3 SonarCone Aquathol Super K Harpoon Renovate LZR Stingray Avastt ISC Harvester Renovate LZR Stingray Avastt ISC Harvester Renovate LZR Stingray Avastt ISC Harvester Renovate DTF Tribune Captain XTR Hydrothol Ig1 Renovate OTF Tribune Chinook Hydrothol Granular Reward Trycera Clearcast Komeen Rodeo Weedar 64 Clearigate Komeen Crystal Roundup Custom Weedestroy AM-40 Other Proposed Chemical Simple ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good	☐ Agristar 2,4-D Amine	☐ Clipper		☐ K-Tea	SCI-62
Alligare Argos	☐ Algimycin PWF	☐ Clipper SC		☐ Littora	☐ Sculpin G
Alligare Diquat	☐ Alligare 2,4-D	☐ Current		☐ Milestone	☐ SeClear
Alligare Ecomazapyr	☐ Alligare Argos	Cutrine-Plus		☐ Nautique	☐ SeClear G
Alligare Glyphosate 5.4	☐ Alligare Diquat	☐ Cutrine-Plus G	Granular	☐ Navigate	☐ Shoreklear-Plus
Aqua Neat	☐ Alligare Ecomazapyr	☐ Cutrine-Ultra		☐ Navitrol	☐ Shredder Amine
Aqua Star	☐ Alligare Glyphosate 5.4	☐ DMA 4 IVM		☐ Navitrol DPF	☐ Sonar AS
AquaPro	☐ Aqua Neat	☐ Earthtec		☐ Phycomycin SCP	Sonar Genesis
Aquashade	☐ Aqua Star	☐ Element 3A		□ Polaris	☐ Sonar H4C
Aquashadow Garlon 3A ProcellaCOR EC Sonar RTU Aquastrike Green Clean Refuge Sonar SRP Aquathol K Habitat Renovate 3 Sonar SRP Aquathol Super K Harpoon Renovate LZR Stingray Avast! SC Harvester Renovate LZR Max Symmetry NXG Captain Havoc Amine Renovate Max G Touchdown Pro Tribune Captain XTR Hydrothol 191 Reward Trycera Clearcast Komeen Rodeo Weedar 64 Clearigate Komeen Crystal Roundup Custom Weedestroy AM-40 Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? All Some None None	☐ AquaPro	☐ Flumioxazin 51	1% WDG	☐ Polaris AC	☐ Sonar PR
Aquastrike Green Clean Refuge Sonar SRP Aquathol K Habitat Renovate 3 SonarOne Aquathol Super K Harpoon Renovate LZR Stingray Avast! SC Harvester Renovate LZR Max Symmetry NXG Captain Havoc Amine Renovate Max G Touchdown Pro Captain XTR Hydrothol 191 Renovate OTF Tribune Chinook Hydrothol Granular Reward Trycera Clearcast Komeen Rodeo Weedar 64 Clearigate Komeen Crystal Roundup Custom Weedestroy AM-40 Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? All Some None None None None What were the results of the treatment? This will be the first time ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good Method of Application Injection Other Method of Application NoTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request.	☐ Aquashade	☐ Formula F-30		☐ Pond-Klear	☐ Sonar Q
Aquathol K	☐ Aquashadow	☐ Garlon 3A		✓ ProcellaCOR EC	☐ Sonar RTU
Aquathol Super K	☐ Aquastrike	\square Green Clean		☐ Refuge	☐ Sonar SRP
Avast! SC	☐ Aquathol K	☐ Habitat		☐ Renovate 3	☐ SonarOne
Captain	Aquathol Super K	☐ Harpoon		☐ Renovate LZR	☐ Stingray
Captain XTR	☐ Avast! SC	☐ Harvester		☐ Renovate LZR Max	☐ Symmetry NXG
Chinook	□ Captain	☐ Havoc Amine		☐ Renovate Max G	☐ Touchdown Pro
Clearcast	☐ Captain XTR	☐ Hydrothol 191	L	☐ Renovate OTF	☐ Tribune
Clearigate	Chinook	☐ Hydrothol Gra	anular	☐ Reward	☐ Trycera
Other Proposed Chemical(s): Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? All Some None What were the results of the treatment? This will be the first time ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023	☐ Clearcast	☐ Komeen		☐ Rodeo	☐ Weedar 64
Have the proposed chemicals been permitted in a prior year on the proposed site? All ○ Some ● None What were the results of the treatment? This will be the first time ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application	☐ Clearigate	☐ Komeen Cryst	al	☐ Roundup Custom	☐ Weedestroy AM-40
What were the results of the treatment? This will be the first time ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting	Other Proposed Chemical(s):				
What were the results of the treatment? This will be the first time ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No not possible, no outlet structure 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023	• •	been permitted	in a prior ye	ear on the proposed site	e?
This will be the first time ProcellaCOR has been used on Horseshoe Lake. Liquid 2,4D has had mixed results, but mostly good Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No No No Dospital in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No No No not possible, no outlet structure 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023		troatmont?			
Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No No Not approved management action in the APM Plan not possible, no outlet structure Nother: No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023					
Method of Application: Injection Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No not possible, no outlet structure 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023		COR has been used	d on Horsesho	be Lake. Liquid 2,4D has na	ad
Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No not possible, no outlet structure 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023	mixed results, but mostly good				
Other Method of Application NOTE: Chemical fact sheets for aquatic pesticides used in Wisconsin are available from the Department of Natural Resources upon request. Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No not possible, no outlet structure 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023	Method of Application: Inject	tion			
Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No not possible, no outlet structure 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023	• •				
Alternatives to Chemical Feasible? If No, Why Not? Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting Yes No Not a good alternative for EWM/HWM 2. Manual removal Yes No Too big an area, not approved by DNR 4. Dredging Yes No not an approved management action in the APM Plan 5. Waterbody drawdown Yes No not possible, no outlet structure 6. Nutrient controls in watershed Yes No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023		ed in Wisconsin are available	from the Departmen	nt of Natural Resources upon request.	
Control: 1. Mechanical harvesting					
1. Mechanical harvesting	Alternatives to Chemical	Feasible?	If No, Why	Not?	
2. Manual removal 3. Sediment screens/covers	Control:				
3. Sediment screens/covers	1. Mechanical harvesting	○ Yes ● No	Not a good alt	ernative for EWM/HWM	
3. Sediment screens/covers	2. Manual removal	● Yes ○ No			
4. Dredging	3. Sediment screens/covers		Too big an are	a, not approved by DNR	
5. Waterbody drawdown	·				PM Plan
6. Nutrient controls in watershed Other: Ot				-	
7. Other: • Yes • No DASH/diver removal is also being incorporated in 2023	•		,		
, , ,			DASH/diver re	moval is also being incorporate	d in 2023

Will surface water outflow and/or overflow be controlled to prevent chemical loss?

○ Yes ● No

○ Yes • No	
WPDES Permit Request	
Is WPDES coverage being requested? Refer to	
http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wastewater/aquaticpesticides.html for more information	
○ Yes - complete section VII with signature.	
• No	
○ Already have WPDES	
WPDES coverage not needed	

Is the treatment area greater than 5% of surface area?

Required Attachments and Supplemental Information

Upload Required Attachments (15 MB per file limit) - Help reduce file size and trouble shoot file uploads

* indicates completion of this item is required

Note: To add additional attachments using the down arrow icon. To replace an existing file, use the 'Click here to attach file ' link. To remove additional items, select the item and press CNTRL Delete.

Riparian Owners	File Attachment	2023HorseshoeLakeEWMTreatmentAdjacentLandOwness.pdf
Public Notice		
Large Scale Worksheet		
Site Map	■ File Attachment	2023 Horseshoe Lake Barron Polk EWM Treatment.pdf

Fee Calculation

Chemical Control Application

- 1. s. NR 107.11(1), Wis. Adm. Code, lists the conditions under which the permit fee is limited to the \$20 minimum charge.
- 2. s. NR 107.11(4), Wis. Adm. Code, lists the uses that are exempt from permit requirements.
- 3. s. NR 107.04(2), Wis. Adm. Code, provides for a refund of acreage fees if the permit is denied or if no treatment occurs.

If Proposed treatment is over 0.25, calculate acreage fee: (round up to nearest whole acre, to maximum of 50 acres)	6.07
acres X \$25 per acre = \$ If proposed treatment is less than 0.25 acre, acreage fee is \$0	\$175.00
Basic Permit Fee (non-refundable)	\$20.00
Total Fee	\$195

Payment Information

Invoice Number: WP-00039924

Payment Confirmation Number: WS2WT3009845929

Amount Paid: \$195

Sign and Submit

Applicant Responsibilities and Certification

- 1. The applicant has prepared a detailed map which shows the length, width and average depth of each area proposed for the control of rooted vegetation and the surface area in acres or square feet for each proposed algae treatment.
- 2. The applicant understands that the Department of Natural Resources may require supervision of any aquatic plant management project involving chemicals. Under s.NR 107.07 Wis. Adm. Code, supervision may include inspection of the proposed treatment area, chemicals and application equipment before, during or after treatment. The applicant is required to notify the regional office 4 working days in advance of each anticipated treatment with the date, time, location and size of treatment unless the Department waives this requirement. Do you request the Department to waive the advance notification requirement?
 - Yes No
- 3. The applicant agrees to comply with all terms or conditions of this permit, if issued, as well as all provisions of Chapter NR 107, Wis. Adm. Code. The required application fee is attached.
- 4. The applicant will provide a copy of the current application to any affected property owners' association inland Lake District and, in the case of chemical applications for rooted aquatic plants, to all owners of property riparian or adjacent to the treatment area. The applicant has also provided a copy of the current chemical fact sheet for the chemicals proposed for use to any affected property owner's association or inland Lake District.
- 5. Conditions related to invasive species movement. The applicant and operator agree to the following methods required under s.NR 109.05(2), Wis. Adm. Code for controlling, transporting and disposing of aquatic plants and animals, and moving water:
 - Aquatic plants and animals shall be removed and water drained from all equipment as required by s.30.07, Wis. Stats., and ss. NR 19.055 and 40.07, Wis. Adm. Code.
 - Operator shall comply with the most recent Department-approved 'Boat, Gear, and Equipment Decontamination and Disinfection Protocol', Manual Code #9183.1, available at http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/invasives/disinfection.html

All portions of this permit, map and accompanying cover letter must be in possession of the chemical applicator at the time of treatment. During treatment all provisions of Chapter NR 107 107.07 and NR 107.08, Wis. Adm. Code, must be complied with, as well as the specific conditions contained in the permit cover letter.

I hereby certify that that the above information is true and correct and that copies of the application shall be provided to all affected property owners promptly and that the conditions of the permit will be adhered to. All portions of this permit, map and accompanying cover letter must be in possession of the applicant or their agent at time of plant removal. During plant removal activities, all provisions of applicable Wisconsin Administrative Rules must be complied with, as well as the specific conditions contained in the permit cover letter.

Steps to Complete the signature process

IMPORTANT: All email correspondence will be sent to the address associated with your WAMS ID).

- 1. Read and Accept the Responsibilities and Certification
- 2. Press the Initiate Signature Process button
- 3. Open the confirmation email for a one time confirmation code and instructions to complete the signature process.

You will receive a final acknowledgement email upon completing these steps .

☑ Check if you are signing as Agent for Applicant.

i:0#.f|wamsmembership|blumed|signed on 2023-0.

✓ I hereby certify that the above information is true and correct and that copies of this submittal shall be provided to the appropriate parties named in the contact section and that the conditions of the permit and pesticide use will be adhered to.